

B U L L E T I N

DE LA SOCIÉTÉ DES SCIENCES ET DES LETTRES DE ŁÓDŹ

2020

Vol. LXX

Recherches sur les déformations

no. 1

pp. 129–143

*Alfonso Hernández-Montes and Lino Feliciano Reséndis Ocampo***AREA DISTORTION UNDER THE BEURLING-AHLFORS
EXTENSION OF MONOMIALS****Summary**

The Beurling-Ahlfors extension of a quasimetric function is a quasi isometry in the hyperbolic metric of the upper half plane \mathbb{H} . In this paper is proved that if $h(x) = x^n$ is an increasing monomial, then its Beurling-Ahlfors extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ satisfies for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)}{2^{(n+1)^2}} \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)$$

where $A_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)$ denotes hyperbolic area.

Keywords and phrases: Hyperbolic area, quasiconformal mapping, Beurling-Ahlfors extension

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the unit disk with hyperbolic density $\frac{2|dz|}{1-|z|^2}$, (see [3], [4]). Then for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{D}$, its hyperbolic area is given by

$$A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) = \int_E \frac{4 dx dy}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

Let the upper half plane

$$\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$$

with hyperbolic density $\frac{|dz|}{y}$, (see [4], [3]). Thus

$$A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) = \int_E \frac{dx dy}{(\text{Im}(z))^2} = \int_E \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$, where in both cases $A_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)$ denotes hyperbolic area.

Let $K \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ a domain. We say that a sense preserving homeomorphism $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping if f is absolutely continuous by lines in Ω and

$$\max_{z \in \Omega} \frac{|f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|}{|f_z(z)| - |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|} \leq K \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in \Omega,$$

where $f_z = \frac{1}{2}(f_x - if_y)$ and $f_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(f_x + if_y)$. Very well known and classic references of quasiconformal mappings are the books [1] and [11].

In [15] were proved the following two results concerning to hyperbolic area distortion of measurable sets under certain classes of K -quasiconformal mappings.

Theorem 1.1. Let $K \geq 1$ and $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a K -quasiconformal harmonic mapping from the unit disk onto itself. If $E \subset \mathbb{D}$ is a measurable set, then

$$\frac{1}{K} A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq \left(\frac{K+1}{2} \right)^2 A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

These bounds are asymptotically sharp when $K \rightarrow 1^+$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K \geq 1$ and $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a K -quasiconformal mapping given by $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(y)$. Then for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{1}{K^9} A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq K^9 A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

These inequalities are asymptotically sharp when $K \rightarrow 1^+$.

More results about area distortion can be found in [8],[2], [14], [12], [17], [13], [18], [7] and [6].

If $h : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $h(\infty) = \infty$ is a quasymmetric function, it is well known that the Beurling-Ahlfors (B-A) extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ (see [5], [10]) of h is a quasi isometry in the hiperbolic metric of the upper half plane \mathbb{H} , that is, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \frac{|dz|}{\text{Im}(z)} \leq \frac{|df(z)|}{\text{Im}(f(z))} \leq C \frac{|dz|}{\text{Im}(z)}.$$

As a consequence we have immediately.

Corollary 1.1. Let $M \geq 1$. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ from a M -quasymmetric function is hiperbolically bi-lipchitz.

Proof. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ and l the hyperbolic segment that joints z_1 and z_2 , then there exists $C = C(M) > 0$ such that

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) = \int_{f(l)} \frac{|df(z)|}{\text{Im}(f(z))} \leq \int_l C \frac{|dz|}{\text{Im}(z)} \leq C d_{\mathcal{H}}(z_1, z_2).$$

In a similar way we get $\frac{1}{C} d_{\mathcal{H}}(z_1, z_2) \leq d_{\mathcal{H}}(f(z_1), f(z_2))$. □

Corollary 1.2. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ of a M -quasisymmetric function satisfies for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)}{C^2} \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq C^2 A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) ,$$

where $C = C(M)$.

Proof. Let $E \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a measurable set, thus

$$A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) = \int_{f(E)} \frac{|df(z)|}{(\operatorname{Im}(f(z)))^2} \leq \int_E C^2 \frac{|dz|}{\operatorname{Im}^2(z)} = C^2 A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

We get the other inequality in a similar way. □

The main result in this article is to give lower and upper estimation of the previous inequalities when f is the B-A extension of the family of increasing monomials of degree n . These bounds depend only of the odd degree n . More precisely (see Theorem 4.2).

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an odd number and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the increasing monomial $h(x) = x^n$. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ of h satisfies for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)}{2^{(n+1)^2}} \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) .$$

Moreover we give another new properties about the B-A extension, some of them depend only of the extension formulas, neither of the hypothesis of quasisymmetry.

Observe that some numerical calculus in this article are performed with Wolfram Mathematica.

2. Quasisymmetric functions

A quasiconformal mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow D$ between two Jordan domains can always be extended to a homeomorphism between the respective closures. Thus we can define the boundary homeomorphism $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \partial D$.

Now let $h : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \partial D$ be a given homeomorphism under which positive orientation of the boundaries with respect to the Jordan domains Ω and D correspond to each other. The boundary value problem is to find a necessary and sufficient conditions for h to be the boundary function of a quasiconformal mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow D$. If we restrict to the normalized case $\Omega = D = \mathbb{H}$, we have that the boundary values h of a K quasiconformal mapping $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, $f(\infty) = \infty$, satisfy the double inequality (see [5])

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(K)} \leq \frac{h(x+t) - h(x)}{h(x) - h(x-t)} \leq \lambda(K) \tag{1}$$

for all x and all $t > 0$ where λ is certain distortion function (see [10]). The inequality is sharp for any given K , x and t .

The previous necessary condition (1) motivates the definition of quasimetric function.

Let $M \geq 1$. An increasing homeomorphism $h : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $h(\infty) = \infty$ is said to be M -quasimetric if

$$\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{h(x+t) - h(x)}{h(x) - h(x-t)} \leq M \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$. The extremal value

$$\rho(h) = \inf\{ M : h \text{ is } M\text{-quasimetric} \}$$

is called the quasimetric constant of h .

In [5], Beurling and Ahlfors studied the quasimetricity of the generalizad monomial

$$h_\alpha(x) = x|x|^{\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha > 1$$

and obtained that the constant of quasimetricity is

$$\rho(h_\alpha) = 2(1 + t_\alpha)^{\alpha-1} - 1$$

where $1 < t_\alpha < 2$.

Let $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing polynomial of degree n . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \sup\{ \rho(p) : p \text{ is an increasing polynomial of degree } n \}$$

In [17] was proved that if $M_1 = 1$, $M_3 = 7 + 4\sqrt{3}$ and for odd $n \geq 5$,

$$M_n = \frac{3^n(n+1)^n}{4},$$

then $\mathcal{Q}_n \leq M_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_n \leq \mathcal{Q}_{n+2}$. In particular the increasing polynomials are quasimetric.

3. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension of h is the function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is given by

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2y} \int_{-y}^y h(x+t) dt, \quad (3)$$

$$v(x, y) = \frac{1}{2y} \int_0^y (h(x+t) - h(x-t)) dt$$

for $y > 0$ and $f(x + i0) = h(x)$. Observe that the previous definition does not require the hypothesis of quasimetricity.

Example 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The B-A extension of the monomial $h(x) = x^n$, is given by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{(x + y)^{n+1} - (x - y)^{n+1}}{2(n + 1)y} + i \frac{(x + y)^{n+1} + (x - y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}}{2(n + 1)y}. \quad (4)$$

Observe that

$$f(0, y) = \frac{y^{n+1} - (-y)^{n+1}}{2(n + 1)y} + i \frac{y^{n+1} + (-y)^{n+1}}{2(n + 1)y}.$$

If n is even $f(0, y) = \frac{y^n}{n+1}$ and if n is odd $f(0, y) = i \frac{y^n}{n+1}$. In particular if n is an even number then f is not an injective function.

Let $M \geq 1$ and $h : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a M -quasisymmetric function. Then the B-A extension of h (see [5]) is a $K(M)$ -quasiconformal mapping from \mathbb{H} onto itself. Moreover

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow 1^+} K(M) = 1.$$

Thus the solution to the boundary value problem in \mathbb{H} is precisely the B-A extension.

Example 3.2. Let $h(x) = x$. Then h is a 1-quasisymmetric function and its B-A extension is 2-quasiconformal and is given by $f(x + iy) = x + \frac{iy}{2}$. For each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$ hold

$$A_e(f(E)) = \frac{1}{2}A_e(E) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\mathbb{H}}(f(E)) = 2A_{\mathbb{H}}(E).$$

Example 3.3. Let $g(x) = x^3$. Then g is a $7 + 4\sqrt{3}$ - quasisymmetric function and its B-A extension is a 20.7872...-quasiconformal mapping given by

$$f(x + iy) = x^3 + xy^2 + \frac{i}{4}(6x^2y + y^3).$$

Moreover

$$\frac{y^2 J(x + iy)}{v(x, y)^2} = \frac{12(6x^4 - 3x^2y^2 + y^4)}{(6x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

If $y = mx$ the right hand side is

$$r(m) = \frac{12(6 - 3m^2 + m^4)}{(6 + m^2)^2}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{3}{4} \leq r(m) < 12 \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus given any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$\frac{3}{4}A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq 12A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

If

$$E = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{H} : x \in [1, \infty), 0 < y \leq \frac{1}{x^2} \right\},$$

its Euclidean measure is $A_e(E) = 1$. Since $J_f(x + iy) = \frac{3}{4}(6x^4 - 3x^2y^2 + y^4)$ then $A_e(f(E)) = \infty$. That is f explodes the Euclidean area.

Example 3.4. Let $g(x + iy) = 2x + \sin(x + y) + iy$ the $\frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{6}$ -quasiconformal mapping, then its boundary function is given by $h(x) = 2x + \sin x$ that is a 2.2...-quasysymmetric function with B-A extension 3.2...-quasiconformal given by

$$f(x + iy) = 2x + \frac{\sin x \sin y}{y} + \frac{i}{y} \left(y^2 + \cos x - \frac{\cos(x + y)}{2} - \frac{\cos(x - y)}{2} \right).$$

Observe that when we apply the B-A extension of h we do not recover the original function g .

Theorem 3.1. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function in a neighborhood of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $h'(x) \neq 0$ and f its Beurling-Ahlfors extension. Then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} = 2 \quad .$$

Proof. Let $f = u + iv$ the B-A extension of h , where u and v are given by (3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= u_x = \frac{1}{2y}(h(x + y) - h(x - y)), \\ u_y(x, y) &= u_y = -\frac{1}{2y^2} \int_{-y}^y h(x + t) dt + \frac{1}{2y}(h(x + y) + h(x - y)), \\ v_x(x, y) &= v_x = \frac{1}{2y}(h(x + y) + h(x - y) - 2h(x)), \\ v_y(x, y) &= v_y = -\frac{1}{2y^2} \int_0^y (h(x + t) - h(x - y)) dt + \frac{1}{2y}(h(x + y) - h(x - y)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the notation

$$I_1 = I_1(x, y) = \int_{-y}^y h(x + t) dt, \quad I_2 = I_2(x, y) = \int_0^y (h(x + t) - h(x - t)) dt.$$

In this part all the derivatives are with respect to the variable y , that is $I'_i = (I_i)_y$, $I''_i = (I_i)_{yy}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I'_1 &= h(x + y) + h(x - y), & I'_2 &= h(x + y) - h(x - y), \\ I''_1 &= h'(x + y) - h'(x - y), & I''_2 &= h'(x + y) + h'(x - y), \end{aligned}$$

if y is enough small. Moreover, by continuity of h and h' at x we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I_1 &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I_2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I''_1 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I''_2 = 0 \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I'_1 &= 2h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} I'_2 = 2h'(x). \end{aligned}$$

With this notation

$$\begin{aligned}
 v &= \frac{I_2}{2y}, & u_x &= \frac{I'_2}{2y}, & u_y &= -\frac{I_1}{2y^2} + \frac{I'_1}{2y}, \\
 v_x &= \frac{I'_1 - 2h(x)}{2y}, & v_y &= -\frac{I_2}{2y^2} + \frac{I'_2}{2y}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_f &= u_x v_y - v_x u_y \\
 &= \frac{-1}{4y^3} I_2 I'_2 + \frac{1}{4y^2} (I'_2)^2 - \left(\frac{-1}{4y^3} (I_1 I'_1 - 2I_1 h(x)) + \frac{1}{4y^2} ((I'_1)^2 - 2I_1 h(x)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$4y^2 J_f = \frac{-I_2 I'_2}{y} + (I'_2)^2 + \frac{I_1 I'_1}{y^2} + \frac{4I_1 h(x)}{y} - (I'_1)^2. \tag{5}$$

Since h is a C^1 function in a neighborhood of x , we can write for fixed x and y near to 0

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(y) &= I_1(0) + I'_1(0)y + I''_1(0)\frac{y^2}{2} + o(y^2) = 2h(x)y + o(y^2) \\
 I'_1(y) &= I'_1(0) + I''_1(0)y + o(y) = 2h(x) + o(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2(y) &= I_2(0) + I'_2(0)y + I''_2(0)\frac{y^2}{2} + o(y^2) = h'(x)y^2 + o(y^2) \\
 I'_2(y) &= I'_2(0) + I''_2(0)y + o(y) = 2h'(x)y + o(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these approximations in (5) we get

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} = 2.$$

□

The B-A extension of an increasing monomial x^n has other properties.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be an odd number. If $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $h(x) = x^n$ and $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ its Beurling-Ahlfors extension, then

- a) $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} = 2$ for each $0 \neq x$ fixed.
- b) $\frac{y^2 J_f(0, y)}{v^2(0, y)} = n(n + 1)$ for each $y > 0$.
- c) $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} = n(n + 1)$ for each fixed x .

Proof. a) follows from Theorem 3.1. To prove b) we have from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= u_x = \frac{(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n}{2y} \\ u_y(x, y) &= u_y = -\frac{(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y^2} + \frac{(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n}{2y} \\ v_x(x, y) &= v_x = \frac{(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n - 2x^n}{2y} \\ v_y(x, y) &= v_y = -\frac{(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y^2} + \frac{(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n}{2y}. \end{aligned}$$

Since n is an odd number

$$\begin{aligned} J_f(0, y) &= \frac{y^n - (-y)^n}{2y} \left(-\frac{y^{n+1} + (-y)^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y^2} + \frac{y^n - (-y)^n}{2y} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(-\frac{y^{n+1} - (-y)^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y^2} + \frac{y^n + (-y)^n}{2y} \right) \left(\frac{y^n + (-y)^n}{2y} \right) \\ &= y^{n-1} \left(-\frac{y^{n-1}}{n+1} + y^{n-1} \right) = \frac{n}{n+1} y^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{y^2 J_f(0, y)}{v^2(0, y)} = \frac{\frac{ny^{2n}}{n+1}}{\frac{y^{2n}}{(n+1)^2}} = n(n+1).$$

To calculate c) we observe that

$$\frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} = \frac{4y^4 J_f(x, y)}{\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} [(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}]^2}.$$

So we calculate first $4y^4 J_f(x, y)$. Since it is not to easy to reach our final expression we write some steps

$$\begin{aligned} 4y^4 J_f &= \frac{y}{n+1} \{ [(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}] [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] \} - \\ &\quad - \frac{y}{n+1} \{ [(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}] [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n] \} + \\ &\quad + y^2 \{ [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n]^2 - [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n]^2 \} + \\ &\quad + 2yx^n \left\{ y[(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] - \frac{1}{n+1} [(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}] \right\} \\ &= \frac{y}{n+1} [(x+y)^{2n+1} + (x+y)^{n+1}(x-y)^n - (x+y)^n(x-y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{2n+1}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{y}{n+1} [(x+y)^{2n+1} - (x+y)^{n+1}(x-y)^n + (x+y)^n(x-y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{2n+1}] \\
& + \frac{2yx^{n+1}}{n+1} [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n] + 2y^2x^n [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] \\
& + y^2 [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n - (x+y)^n - (x-y)^n] \\
& \cdot [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n + (x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] - \frac{2yx^n}{n+1} [(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}] \\
& = \frac{2y}{n+1} [(x+y)^{n+1}(x-y)^n - (x-y)^{n+1}(x+y)^n] \\
& + \frac{2yx^{n+1}}{n+1} [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n] + y^2[-2(x-y)^n][2(x+y)^n] \\
& + 2y^2x^n[(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] - \frac{2yx^n}{n+1} [(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}] \\
& = \frac{4y^2}{n+1} [(x+y)^n(x-y)^n] + \frac{2yx^{n+1}}{n+1} [(x+y)^n - (x-y)^n] \\
& - 4y^2[(x+y)^n(x-y)^n] + 2y^2x^n[(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] \\
& - \frac{2yx^n}{n+1} [(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}] \\
& = \frac{-4y^2n}{n+1} (x+y)^n(x-y)^n + (x+y)^n \left[\frac{2yx^{n+1}}{n+1} + 2y^2x^n - \frac{2yx^n(x+y)}{n+1} \right] \\
& + (x-y)^n \left[\frac{-2yx^{n+1}}{n+1} + 2y^2x^n + \frac{2yx^n(x-y)}{n+1} \right] \\
& = \frac{-4y^2n}{n+1} (x+y)^n(x-y)^n + (x+y)^n \left[2y^2x^n - \frac{2y^2x^n}{n+1} \right] \\
& + (x-y)^n \left[2y^2x^n - \frac{2y^2x^n}{n+1} \right] \\
& = \frac{-4y^2n}{n+1} (x+y)^n(x-y)^n + \left[2y^2x^n \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right) \right] [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n] \\
& = \frac{n}{n+1} (-4y^2(x+y)^n(x-y)^n + 2y^2x^n [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n]).
\end{aligned}$$

From here we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} &= \frac{\frac{n}{n+1} (-4y^2(x+y)^n(x-y)^n + 2y^2x^n [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n])}{\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} [(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}]^2} \\
&= n(n+1) \frac{-4y^2(x+y)^n(x-y)^n + 2y^2x^n [(x+y)^n + (x-y)^n]}{[(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}]^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now, for $m \neq 0$, substitute $y = mx$ in (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, mx)}{v^2(x, mx)} &= \\ &= n(n+1) \frac{-4(mx)^2(x+mx)^n(x-mx)^n + 2(mx)^2x^n[(x+mx)^n + (x-mx)^n]}{[(x+mx)^{n+1} + (x-mx)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}]^2} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)m^2 [2((1+m)^n + (1-m)^n) - 4(1-m^2)^n]}{[(1+m)^{n+1} + (1-m)^{n+1} - 2]^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd and this last expression is symmetric in m we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^2 J_f(x, mx)}{v^2(x, mx)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(n+1)4m^{2n+2}}{4m^{2n+2}} = n(n+1).$$

□

4. The main result

Lemma 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an odd number. Then the function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(m) := 2((1+m)^n + (1-m)^n) - 4(1-m^2)^n.$$

is increasing.

Proof. Since $n-1$ is an even number, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(m) &= 2n((1+m)^{n-1} - (1-m)^{n-1} + 4m(1-m^2)^{n-1}) \\ &\geq (1+m)^{n-1} - (1-m)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $g'(0) = 0$ and $g'(m) > 0$ for each $m \in (0, \infty)$.

□

Lemma 4.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an odd number. Then

$$h(m) := (1+m)^{n+1} + (1-m)^{n+1} - 2 \leq \begin{cases} 2^{n+1}m^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq 1 \\ 2^{n+1}m^{n+1}, & \text{if } 1 < m < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is a consequence of the equality

$$(1+m)^{n+1} + (1-m)^{n+1} - 2 = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2k} m^{2k}.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an odd number. Then

$$g(m) \geq \begin{cases} 4nm^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq 1, \\ 2^{n+1} & \text{if } 1 < m < \sqrt{2^{n+1}}, \\ \frac{m^{2n}}{2} & \text{if } \sqrt{2^{n+1}} < m < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the developments

$$2((1+m)^n + (1-m)^n) = 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{2k}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4(1-m^2)^n &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k m^{2k} \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} (-1)^{2k} m^{4k} + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} (-1)^{2k+1} m^{2(2k+1)} \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{4k} - 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can rewrite $g(m)$ as

$$g(m) = 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} (m^{2k} - m^{4k}) + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+2}$$

and if $0 \leq m \leq 1$, then $m^{2k} - m^{4k} \geq 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ and therefore

$$g(m) \geq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+2} \geq 4nm^2.$$

If $1 \leq m \leq \sqrt{2^{n+1}}$, by Lemma 4.1

$$g(m) \geq g(1) = 2^{n+1}.$$

If $\sqrt{2^{n+1}} < m < \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{4k} \leq m^{2n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} \leq 2^n m^{2n-2} \leq \frac{m^{2n}}{2}$$

and we now rewrite $g(m)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g(m) &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{2k} - 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{4k} + \frac{m^{2n}}{2} + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+2} + \frac{m^{2n}}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{m^{2n}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

that concludes the proof. \square

Now we can prove the main result. The case $n = 3$ was treated in Example 3.3.

Theorem 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 5$ be an odd number. If $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $h(x) = x^n$ and $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ its Beurling-Ahlfors extension, then

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2^{(n+1)^2}} \leq \frac{y^2 J_f(x, y)}{v^2(x, y)} \leq n(n+1)$$

where

Proof. The left hand inequality is a direct consequence of the formula (7) and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. The right hand inequality is proved if we prove that $m^2 g(m) \leq h(m)^2$, that is

$$m^2 [2((1+m)^n + (1-m)^n) - 4(1-m^2)^n] \leq [(1+m)^{n+1} + (1-m)^{n+1} - 2]^2$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} (m^{2k+2} - m^{4k+2}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+4} \leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2k} m^{2k} \right]^2.$$

Since $m^{2(0)+2} - m^{4(0)+2} = 0$ we rewrite the previous inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} (m^{2k+2} - m^{4k+2}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+4} \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2k} \binom{n+1}{2j} m^{2(k+j)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will finish the proof if we can show that

$$\left[\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} \right] m^4 + \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} m^{2k+2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2k} \binom{n+1}{2} m^{2(k+1)} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k+1} m^{4k+4} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2k} \binom{n+1}{2k} m^{4k} \quad (9)$$

It is necessary to study only the coefficients in the previous inequalities. We recall the identity

$$\binom{n+1}{r} = \binom{n}{r} + \binom{n}{r-1}. \tag{10}$$

In particular

$$\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} = \binom{n+1}{2} \leq \binom{n+1}{2} \binom{n+1}{2}$$

and is easy to see that

$$\binom{n}{2k} < \binom{n+1}{2k} \binom{n+1}{2}$$

thus (8) is proved. From (10) we have

$$\binom{n+1}{2k+2} = \binom{n}{2k+2} + \binom{n}{2k+1}$$

and thus

$$\binom{n}{2k+1} < \binom{n+1}{2k+2} < \binom{n+1}{2k+2} \binom{n+1}{2k+2}$$

and (9) is proved comparing coefficients. □

As an immediate consequence of this result we get

Theorem 4.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and odd number and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the increasing monomial $h(x) = x^n$. The Beurling-Ahlfors extension $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, of the monomial h is given by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{(x+y)^{n+1} - (x-y)^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y} + i \frac{(x+y)^{n+1} + (x-y)^{n+1} - 2x^{n+1}}{2(n+1)y}$$

and satisfies for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)}{2^{(n+1)^2}} \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

By Theorem 3.2 the right bound is sharp, however the left bound is far to be sharp. Using Wolfram Mathematica we get the following example.

Example 4.1. Let $h(x) = x^5$. Then h is a 129.569151...-quasisymmetric functions and its B-A extensiion is a 197.2675...-quasiconformal mapping that satisfies for each measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$1.71646 \cdots A_{\mathcal{H}}(E) \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq 30A_{\mathcal{H}}(E).$$

The left hand bound in the Theorem for $n = 5$ is $\frac{5(6)}{2^{36}} = \frac{15}{2^{35}}$.

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings*, Van Nostrand, 1966.

- [2] K. Astala, *Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. **173** (1994).
- [3] J. Anderson, *Hyperbolic Geometry*, 1–230, Springer, London 2003.
- [4] A. Beardon, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, 1–338, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [5] A. Beurling, L.V. Ahlfors, *The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. **96** (1956), 25–142.
- [6] M. Chen, X. Chen, *(K, K') -quasiconformal harmonic mappings of the upper half plane onto itself*, Annales Academic Scientiarum Fennicae, Mathematica, 265–276, 2012.
- [7] F. Dongmian, H. Xinzhong, *Harmonic K -Quasiconformal mappings from the unit disk onto half planes*, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society **39**, Issue 1 (2016), 339–347.
- [8] F. Gehring, E. Reich, *Area distortion under quasiconformal mappings*, Annales Academic Scientiarum Fennicae, Mathematica AI **388** (1966), 1–14.
- [9] A. Hernández-Montes, L.F. Reséndis O., *Hyperbolic area distortion under quasiconformal mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2017) 2017: 211. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1481-1>
- [10] O. Lehto, *Univalent Functions and Teichmüller theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [11] O. Lehto, K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [12] M. Kanezovic, M. Mateljevic, *On the quasi-isometries of harmonic quasiconformal mappings*, J. Math. Ana. Appl., 404–413, 2007.
- [13] D. Kalaj, M. Maltejević, *Quasiconformal harmonic mappings and generalizations*, International workshop on harmonic and quasiconformal mappings **18** (2010), 239–260.
- [14] M. Mateljevic, *Distortion of harmonic functions and harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometry* J. Revue Roumaine des Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees. 2006
- [15] A. Hernández-Montes, L.F. Reséndis O., *Hyperbolic area distortion under quasiconformal mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2017) 2017: 211. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1481-1>
- [16] R.M. Porter, L.F. Reséndis, *A bound for quasisymmetric of polynomials*, Complex Variables **3** (1996), 179–191.
- [17] R.M. Porter, L.F. Reséndis, *Quasiconformally explodable sets*, Complex Variables **36** (1998), 379–392.
- [18] D. Partyka, K. Sakan, *On bi-lipschitz type inequalities for quasiconformal harmonic mappings*, Annales Academic Scientiarum Fennicae, Mathematica **32** (2007), 579–594.

Alfonso Hernández-Montes
Escuela Superior de Ingeniería Mecánica y Eléctrica
Instituto Politécnico Nacional
Unidad Adolfo López Mateos
Avenida Luis Enrique Erro s/n, 07738
E-mail: alhernandezmon@ipn.mx

Lino Feliciano Reséndis Ocampo
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Azcapotzalco
Av. San Pablo No. 180, Col. Reynosa Tamaulipas, Azcapotzalco, 02200, México.
E-mail: lfro@azc.uam.mx

Presented by Adam Paszkiewicz at the Session of the Mathematical-Physical Commission of the Łódź Society of Sciences and Arts on March 13, 2020.

ZNIEKSZTAŁCENIA POLA W ROZSZERZENIU BEURLINGA-AHLFORSA JEDNOMIANÓW

Streszczenie

Rozszerzenie Beurlinga-Ahlforsa funkcji quasisymetrycznej jest quasi izometrią górnej półpłaszczyzny \mathbb{H} . W pracy udowodniono, że jeśli $h(x) = x^n$ jest rosnącym jednomianem, to dla jego rozszerzenia Beurlinga-Ahlforsa $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ spełniony jest warunek $E \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)}{2^{(n+1)^2}} \leq A_{\mathcal{H}}(f(E)) \leq n(n+1)A_{\mathcal{H}}(E)$$

dla dowolnego zbioru mierzalnego $E \subset \mathbb{H}$ gdzie $A_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)$ oznacza pole hiperboliczne.

Słowa kluczowe: pole hiperboliczne, odwzorowanie quasikonforemne, rozszerzenie Beurlinga-Ahlforsa