

# BULLETIN

DE LA SOCIÉTÉ DES SCIENCES ET DES LETTRES DE ŁÓDŹ  
2019

Vol. LXIX

---

Recherches sur les déformations

no. 1

---

pp. 109–116

*Dedicated to the memory of  
Professor Leszek Wojtczak*

*Mikołaj Widziński*

## ON THE SEPARATION AXIOMS OF TOPOLOGIES GENERATED BY REGULAR SEQUENCES OF MEASURABLE SETS

### Summary

In this paper we study separation axioms for  $\mathcal{S}$ -density topology, which is a generalization of the classical density topology. Namely, we prove that if the sequence of sets is regular, then the topology generated by it is completely regular, but is not normal.

*Keywords and phrases:* lower density operator, topology generated by lower density operator, density topology

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of reals and  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers. The symbol  $\lambda$  stands for the Lebesgue measure. We use the symbols  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathbb{L}$  to denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and the  $\sigma$ -ideal of Lebesgue null sets, respectively. For any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\overline{A}$  its closure in the natural topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In this paper we study a generalization of density points, called  $\mathcal{S}$ -density points, introduced by F. Stobin and R. Wiertelak in the paper [5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $S_n \in \mathcal{L}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall say that the sequence  $\mathcal{S}$  is converging to zero, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\{0\} \cup S_n) = 0.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathbb{S}$  the family of all sequences of bounded sets with positive measure convergent to zero.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ . We shall say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -density point of the set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda((A-x) \cap S_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} = 1.$$

Observe, that for the sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we get the ordinary density points (see [6]).

However, in this paper we shall focus on a special case of sequences of sets, so called regular sequences.

Define for every sequence  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$  and for every set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  an operator

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A) = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : x \text{ is a } \mathcal{S}\text{-density point of } A\}$$

In order to correctly define the regular sequences of sets, we use the following property from the paper [5].

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ . There exists a convergent to zero sequence  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{I}_{<\omega}$ , such that  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_{<\omega}$  stands for the family of all sequences  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that each  $I_n$  is a finite sum of pairwise disjoint closed intervals.

Let us define for every sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$

$$\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam}(\{0\} \cup S_n)}{\lambda(S_n)}.$$

**Definition 1.4.** We shall say that a sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$  is *regular*, if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{I}_{<\omega}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathcal{I}) < \infty$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Otherwise we say that sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *irregular*.

The regular sequences of sets had been studied in paper [6]. Now we recall some properties of such sequences, involved in further investigation in this paper.

**Theorem 1.5** ([5],[6]). Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then the operator  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$  has following properties:

1.  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;
2. if  $\lambda(A \triangle B) = 0$ , then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ ;
3.  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$ ;
4.  $\lambda(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \triangle A) = 0$ .

Recall, that an operator satisfying above conditions is called the *lower density operator*. In this case (see [4]) the family

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A)\}$$

is a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , called  $\mathcal{S}$ -density topology (or the topology generated by the sequence  $\mathcal{S}$ ). Moreover, the paper [6] contains a theorem that there exists a regular sequence of measurable sets such that the topology generated by it contains the ordinary density topology and is essentially stronger than the ordinary density topology.

## 2. An analogue of Lusin-Menchoff theorem

In this section we will prove an analogue of Lusin-Menchoff theorem in the case of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -density topology. The ideas of proofs are taken from the book [1] (see also [3]), however they need to be modified to the case of  $\mathcal{S}$ -density.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $B$  be a Borel set. Then for every  $x \in B \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$  there exists a perfect set  $K$  such that  $x \in K \subset B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $B$  be a Borel set. Let  $x \in B \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$ . Because  $x$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -density point of the set  $B$ , the equality  $\lambda(B \cap S_n) = 0$  is possible for only finite amount of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we can assume that  $\lambda(B \cap S_n) \neq 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists nonempty perfect set  $K_n \subset B \cap S_n$ . Let

$$K = \{x\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n.$$

Then  $x \in K \subset B$  and the set  $K$  is perfect. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $B$  be a Borel set. Then for every countable set  $C = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\overline{C} \subset B \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$  there exists a perfect set  $K$  such that  $C \subset K \subset B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $B$  be a Borel set. Moreover, let  $C = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable set such that  $\overline{C} \subset B \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$ . Define for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  sets  $B_i = B \cap [x_i - \frac{1}{n}, x_i + \frac{1}{n}]$ . Observe that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B_i)$ . hence, by previous lemma for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a perfect set  $K_i$  such that  $x \in K_i \subset B_i$ . Let

$$K = \overline{C} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i.$$

Then the set  $K$  is perfect and  $C \subset K \subset B$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for every  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed set  $X$  such that  $X \subset E \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E)$  there exists a perfect set  $K$  such that  $X \subset K \subset E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $E$  be a Lebesgue measurable set and let  $X$  be a  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed set such that  $X \subset E \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ . There exists an  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $A \subset E$  such that  $\lambda(E \setminus A) = 0$ . Hence  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ . Let  $B = A \cup X$ . Then  $B$  is a Borel set and  $X \subset B \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$ . By Cantor-Bendixon Theorem  $X = K_1 \cup C$ , where  $K_1$  is perfect and

$C$  is countable set. Moreover, the set  $C$  consists only of isolated points, so  $\overline{C} = C$ . From the previous lemma there exists a perfect set  $K_2$  such that  $C \subset K_2 \subset B$ . Put  $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ . Then  $K$  is a perfect set such that  $X \subset K \subset B \subset E$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for every  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed set  $X$  such that  $X \subset E \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E)$  there exists a perfect set  $P$  such that  $X \subset P \subset E$  and  $X \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Because the sequence  $\mathcal{S}$  is regular, there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\} \in \mathcal{I}_{<\omega}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam}(I_n \cup \{0\})}{\lambda(I_n)} < \infty$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

We can assume that  $I_n \subset [-1, 1]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $F = E \cap \bigcup_{x \in X} [x-1, x+1]$ . Then  $X \subset F \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(F)$ . By Lemma 2.3 there exist a perfect set  $K$  such that  $X \subset K \subset F$ . Let us define for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$R_n = \left\{ x \in F : \frac{1}{n+1} < \text{dist}(x, X) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Observe that  $F = X \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $P_n$  be a perfect subset of  $R_n$  such that  $\lambda(R_n \setminus P_n) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ . Let

$$P = K \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n.$$

Observe that  $P$  is a nonempty perfect set such that  $X \subset P \subset F$ .

We will show now, that  $X \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(P)$ . Let  $x \in X$ .

*Case 1.* There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x + I_n \subset P$  for every  $n > n_0$ . Then we have  $\lambda(E \cap (x + I_n)) = \lambda(P \cap (x + I_n))$  for every  $n > n_0$ . Because  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(E)$ , so  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(P)$ .

*Case 2.* Assume that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x + I_n) \cap R_j \neq \emptyset$ . Define

$$r(n) = \min \{j \in \mathbb{N} : (x + I_n) \cap R_j \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\{0\} \cup I_n) = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(n) = \infty$ . It is also true that

$$(F \setminus P) \cap (x + I_n) \subset \bigcup_{j \geq r(n)} (R_j \setminus P_j).$$

We have

$$\lambda((F \setminus P) \cap (x + I_n)) \leq \lambda \left( \bigcup_{j \geq r(n)} (R_j \setminus P_j) \right) \leq \sum_{j \geq r(n)} \lambda(R_j \setminus P_j) < \frac{1}{2^{r(n)}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(F \cap (x + I_n)) &\leq \lambda(P \cap (x + I_n)) + \lambda((F \setminus P) \cap (x + I_n)) \\ &< \lambda(P \cap (x + I_n)) + \frac{1}{2^{r(n)}} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam}(I_n \cup \{0\})}{\lambda(I_n)} < \infty$ , we can assume that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $\text{diam}(I_k \cup \{0\}) \leq 2\alpha(\mathcal{I})\lambda(I_k)$ . By the definition of the sets  $R_n$  we have that  $\text{diam}(I_n \cup \{0\}) \geq \frac{1}{r(n)+1}$ . Hence

$$\lambda(I_n) \geq \frac{1}{2\alpha(\mathcal{I})(r(n) + 1)}.$$

Finally

$$\frac{\lambda(F \cap (x + I_n))}{\lambda(I_n)} \leq \frac{\lambda(P \cap (x + I_n))}{\lambda(I_n)} + \frac{2\alpha(\mathcal{I})(r(n) + 1)}{2^{r(n)}}.$$

It means that  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(P)$ . □

### 3. The main result

In this section we will show that the topology generated by a regular sequence of sets is completely regular, but it is not normal. First, we need the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous function and some its properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous at a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a set  $U_{x_0} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x_0 \in \Phi(U_{x_0})$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in U_{x_0}} f(x) = f(x_0)$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous, if it is approximately continuous at every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Similarly as in paper [3] we can prove the following

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$  and let  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous functions. Then the functions  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous functions. Moreover, if  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $\frac{1}{f}$  is also  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately upper semi-continuous at a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for every  $a > f(x_0)$  there exists a set  $U_{x_0} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x_0 \in \Phi(U_{x_0})$  and  $f(x) > a$  for every  $x \in U_{x_0}$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately upper semi-continuous, if it is approximately upper semi-continuous at every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately lower semi-continuous at a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for every  $a < f(x_0)$  there exists a set  $U_{x_0} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x_0 \in \Phi(U_{x_0})$  and  $f(x) < a$  for every  $x \in U_{x_0}$ . We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately lower semi-continuous, if it is approximately upper semi-continuous at every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof of the next proposition is analogous to the case of  $\mathcal{J}$ -density (see [3]).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately upper and  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately lower semi-continuous.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $E$  be a  $F_\sigma$  set such that  $E \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous function  $f$  such that*

1.  $0 < f(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in E$ ,
2.  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of sets and  $E$  be a  $F_\sigma$  set such that  $E \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ . Assume that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , where each of the sets  $F_n$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed set. Theorem 2.4 implies (see [1]) the existence of the family  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in [1, \infty)\}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed sets such that

1. if  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ , then  $P_{\alpha_1} \subset P_{\alpha_2} \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(P_{\alpha_2})$ ,
2.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = E$ .

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\inf\{\alpha : x \in P_\alpha\}} & \text{for } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin E \end{cases}.$$

Observe that the function  $f$  fulfils the conditions (1), (2).

Now we will show that  $f$  is continuous at every point  $x \notin E$ . Let  $x_0 \notin E$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (2) we have that  $x \notin P_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the sets  $P_n$  are  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{nat}}$ -closed, there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap P_n = \emptyset$ . Moreover, because of (1), we have that  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap P_\alpha = \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha \leq n$ . Hence, if  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$  then  $\inf\{\alpha : x \in P_\alpha\} \geq n$ . Consequently  $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for every  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Since  $f(x_0) = 0$ , the function  $f$  is continuous at point  $x_0$ . Similarly one can show that  $f$  upper semi-continuous at every point  $x \in E$ .

In order to end the proof, we will show that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately lower semi-continuous at every point  $x \in E$ . Let  $x_0 \in E$  and  $a < f(x_0)$  be chosen arbitrarily. Then  $f(x_0) = \frac{1}{\inf\{\alpha : x_0 \in P_\alpha\}} = \frac{1}{M}$  and  $a < \frac{1}{M+\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . From (1) we have that

$$x_0 \in P_{M+\frac{\epsilon}{4}} \subset P_{M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(P_{M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}).$$

Moreover, if  $x \in P_{M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ , then

$$f(x) \geq \frac{1}{M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} > \frac{1}{M+\epsilon} > f(x_0) > a.$$

Hence  $P_{M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > a\}$  and  $x_0 \in \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > a\})$ . Denote  $U_{x_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > a\}$ . Then  $x_0 \in \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(U_{x_0})$  and for  $x \in U_{x_0}$  we have  $f(x) > a$ . It means that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately lower semi-continuous at the point  $x_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets and  $E_1, E_2, H \subset \mathbb{R}$  be pairwise disjoint sets such that  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup H = \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, assume that  $E_1 \cup H$  and  $E_2 \cup H$  are  $F_\sigma$  sets and  $E_1 \cup H \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E_1 \cup H)$  and  $E_2 \cup H \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(E_2 \cup H)$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous function  $f$  such that*

1.  $0 < f(x) < 1$  for  $x \in H$ ,
2.  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin E_1$ ,
3.  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \notin E_2$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 there exist  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous functions  $g, h$  such that

1.  $0 < g(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \notin E_1$  and  $g(x) = 0$  for  $x \in E_1$ ,
2.  $0 < h(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \notin E_2$  and  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x \in E_2$ .

Then the function  $f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{g(x)+h(x)}$  fulfils conditions (1)-(3) and by virtue of Proposition 3.2 is  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$  be a regular sequence of measurable sets. Then the topological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$  is completely regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ -closed set and let  $x_0 \notin F$ . There exists a  $G_{\delta}$  set  $K$  such that  $F \subset K$  and  $\lambda(F) = \lambda(K)$  and  $x_0 \notin K$ . Put  $E_1 = \{x_0\}$ ,  $E_2 = K$  and  $H = \mathbb{R} \setminus (K \cup \{x_0\})$ . Then by Corollary 3.6 there exists a  $\mathcal{S}$ -approximately continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x_0) = 0$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in F$ . Consequently, the topological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$  is completely regular.  $\square$

Finally, we will show that for every regular sequence  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{S}$  the topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is not normal. In the paper [2] authors proved a following theorem:

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J})$  be a measurable space, where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{S}$  is a proper  $\sigma$ -ideal. Moreover, let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow 2^X$  be a semi-lower density operator on  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J})$  (i. e. an operator which satisfies only conditions (1)-(3) from the Theorem 1.5) generating topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi} = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$ . If  $\mathcal{J}$  contains a set  $B$  such that*

$$\text{card}(2^B) > \text{card}\{B \cap \Phi(A) : A \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

*then  $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi})$  is not normal.*

For every  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  the set  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$  is Borel (see [5]). Moreover, for any  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists a Borel set  $C \subset A$  such that  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(C)$ . Hence, the assumptions of the above theorem are satisfied for the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbb{L})$ , the operator  $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$  and the set  $B$  equal to the Cantor set. Thus we have

**Corollary 3.9.** *The topological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$  is not normal.*

**References**

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **695**, Springer-Verlag (1978).
- [2] J. Hejduk, A. Loranty, *On abstract and almost-abstract density topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar. **155(2)** (2018), 228–240.
- [3] J. Hejduk, R. Wiertelak, *On some properties of  $\mathcal{J}$ -approximately continuous functions*, Math. Slovaca **67(6)** (2017), 1–10.
- [4] J. Hejduk, R. Wiertelak, *On the abstract density topologies generated by lower and almost lower density operators*, Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis, Łódź University Press, 2013.
- [5] F. Strobina, R. Wiertelak, *On a generalization of density topologies on the real line*, Topology Appl. **199** (2016), 1–16.
- [6] M. Widzibor, R. Wiertelak, *On properties of operators generated by regular sequences of measurable sets*, submitted, (2018).

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Łódź  
Banacha 22, PL-90-238 Łódź  
Poland  
E-mail: widzibor@gmail.com

Presented by Jacek Hejduk at the Session of the Mathematical-Physical Commission of the Łódź Society of Sciences and Arts on January 15, 2019.

**O AKSJOMATACH ODZIELANIA TOPOLOGII GENEROWANYCH PRZEZ REGULARNE CIĄGI ZBIORÓW MIERZALNYCH****S t r e s z c z e n i e**

W przedstawionym artykule badamy aksjomaty oddzielania dla topologii  $\mathcal{S}$ -gęstości, które są uogólnieniem klasycznej topologii gęstości. Głównym wynikiem jest całkowita regularność topologii generowanej przez regularny ciąg zbiorów zbieżny do zera. Pokazujemy też, że tego typu topologie nie są normalne.

*Słowa kluczowe:* operator dolnej gęstości, topologia generowana przez operator dolnej gęstości, topologia gęstości